

Lemma 3.12. *The map*

$$\exp : \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{K})$$

is invertible in a neighborhood of 0. That is, there exists a neighborhood U of 0 such that $\exp : U \rightarrow \exp(U)$ is bijective, and the inverse is given by an absolutely convergent power series.

Proof. The series

$$\log X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(X - \mathbf{1})^n}{n}$$

converges absolutely for $\|X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(X - \mathbf{1})^n}{n} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|X - \mathbf{1}\|^n}{n} = -\log(1 - \|X - \mathbf{1}\|) < \infty.$$

Then $\exp(\log X) = X$ for $\|X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$, and $\log(\exp X) = X$ whenever $\|\exp X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$. $\square$

3.3 One-Parameter Groups

Definition 3.13. A map $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $t \mapsto X(t)$, is called a *one-parameter group* if it is continuously differentiable, $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$, and

$$X(s+t) = X(s)X(t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The image of such a map is a subgroup, with $X(t)^{-1} = X(-t)$.

Theorem 3.14. (i) *For any $X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$, the map $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is a one-parameter group.*

(ii) *Every one-parameter group is of this form.*

Definition 3.15. For the one-parameter group $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$, the matrix X is called its *infinitesimal generator*.

Proof of Theorem 3.14. (i) Since $tXsX = sXtX$, Lemma 3.7(i) gives $\exp(tX)\exp(sX) = \exp((t+s)X)$. Differentiability and $\frac{d}{dt}\exp(tX) = \exp(tX)X$ are standard.

(ii) If $X(t)$ is a one-parameter group, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(t+h) - X(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(t)X(h) - X(t)}{h} = X(t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(h) - \mathbf{1}}{h} = X(t)\dot{X}(0),$$

with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$. Uniqueness for first-order linear matrix ODEs yields $X(t) = \exp(t\dot{X}(0))$. $\square$

3.4 Matrix Lie Groups

Let $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ be a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ (closed means: if (g_j) is a sequence in G converging in $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j \in G$). Define

$$\text{Lie}(G) = \{ X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \mid \exp(tX) \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

The space $\text{Lie}(G)$ is called the *Lie algebra* of the Lie group G .

Theorem 3.16. *Let G be a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\text{Lie}(G)$ is a real vector space, and for all $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$ we have $[X, Y] = XY - YX \in \text{Lie}(G)$.*

We will prove this later using the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff (CBH) formula. Since we are only interested in these groups, we adopt:

Definition 3.17. A (matrix) *Lie group* is a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$.

It turns out that closed subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ are Lie groups in the sense of Section 1.2 (see Theorem 3.27 below). The Lie algebra of a Lie group G can then alternatively be defined as the tangent space $T_1 G$ at the identity $1 \in G$:

Lemma 3.18. $\text{Lie}(G)$ consists of all tangent vectors $\dot{X}(0) = \frac{d}{dt}X(t)|_{t=0}$ of smooth curves $X : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow G$ with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$ (for some $\epsilon > 0$).

Proof. One-parameter subgroups in G are smooth curves through 1 , so their infinitesimal generators are tangent vectors of the desired form. Conversely, let $X : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow G$ be a smooth curve with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $X(t/n)^n \in G$. For n large, $X(t/n)$ is defined and near $\mathbf{1}$ (so that $\log$ is defined). Then

$$\begin{aligned} X(t/n)^n &= \exp(n \log(X(t/n))) = \exp\left(n \log\left(\mathbf{1} + \dot{X}(0) \frac{t}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(n\left(\dot{X}(0) \frac{t}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right) = \exp\left(t\dot{X}(0) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(t\dot{X}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Closedness of G implies $\exp(t\dot{X}(0)) \in G$ for all t , hence $\dot{X}(0) \in \text{Lie}(G)$. $\square$

Typical examples are $(S)U(n, m)$, $(S)O(n, m)$, $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $SL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $Sp(n)$, etc. Indeed, they can be defined as common zero sets of continuous functions $f : GL(n, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, hence are closed. For instance, $SL(n, \mathbb{K}) = \{A \mid \det(A) - 1 = 0\}$. A counterexample is $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, which is not closed.

Define the *commutator* of $X, Y \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ by

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX.$$

It satisfies:

- (i) $[\lambda X + \mu Y, Z] = \lambda[X, Z] + \mu[Y, Z]$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ (bilinearity);
- (ii) $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$ (antisymmetry);
- (iii) $[[X, Y], Z] + [[Z, X], Y] + [[Y, Z], X] = 0$ (Jacobi identity).

Definition 3.19. A real or complex vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a bilinear map (the *Lie bracket*) $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (i)–(iii) is called a (real or complex) *Lie algebra*. A *homomorphism* of Lie algebras $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is linear and satisfies $[\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] = \varphi([X, Y])$. Bijective homomorphisms are *isomorphisms*.

Thus Theorem 3.16 asserts that $\text{Lie}(G)$ carries the structure of a real Lie algebra.

Example 3.20. $\text{Lie}(GL(n, \mathbb{K})) = \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ viewed as a real vector space, denoted $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K})$. A basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is given by the matrices E_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, with entries $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. The Lie bracket reads

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = E_{il}\delta_{jk} - E_{jk}\delta_{il},$$

and $\dim \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = n^2$. As a real Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ has basis $\{E_{ij}, \sqrt{-1}E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = 2n^2$.

Example 3.21. $\mathfrak{u}(n) := \text{Lie}(U(n))$, $\mathfrak{su}(n) := \text{Lie}(SU(n))$.

Lemma 3.22.

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \{X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* = -X\}, \quad \mathfrak{su}(n) = \{X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid X^* = -X, \text{tr } X = 0\}.$$

Proof. If $X \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$, then $(\exp(tX))^* \exp(tX) = \mathbf{1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(\exp(tX))^* = \exp(tX^*)$, differentiating at $t = 0$ gives $X + X^* = 0$. If $X \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$, additionally $1 = \det(\exp(tX)) = \exp(t \text{tr } X)$ for all t , hence $\text{tr } X = 0$. Conversely, $X^* = -X$ implies $(\exp(tX))^* \exp(tX) = \exp(-tX) \exp(tX) = \mathbf{1}$ and if $\text{tr } X = 0$ then $\det(\exp(tX)) = 1$. $\square$

The real dimensions are $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{u}(n) = n^2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{su}(n) = n^2 - 1$.

Example 3.23. $\mathfrak{o}(n) := \text{Lie}(O(n))$, $\mathfrak{so}(n) := \text{Lie}(SO(n))$.

Lemma 3.24.

$$\mathfrak{o}(n) = \mathfrak{so}(n) = \{X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid X^T = -X\}.$$

Proof. Analogous to Lemma 3.22. Note that $X^T = -X$ forces zero diagonal, hence $\text{tr } X = 0$ automatically. Thus $\dim \mathfrak{so}(n) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$. $\square$

Example 3.25. $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \{X \in \text{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C}) \mid X + X^* = 0, \text{tr } X = 0\}$. A basis is given by the Pauli matrices:

$$t_1 = i\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_2 = i\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_3 = i\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix},$$

with commutation relations

$$[t_j, t_k] = -2 \sum_{l=1}^3 \epsilon_{jkl} t_l,$$

where $\epsilon_{123} = 1$ and ϵ_{ijk} is totally antisymmetric.

3.4.1 The Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff Formula

We will prove Theorem 3.16 using CBH.

Theorem 3.26 (CBH). *Let $X, Y \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$. For t sufficiently small,*

$$\exp(tX) \exp(tY) = \exp\left(tX + tY + \frac{t^2}{2}[X, Y] + O(t^3)\right).$$

Proof. For small t , $\|\exp(tX) \exp(tY) - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$. By Lemma 3.12, there is $Z(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n Z_n$ with $\exp(tX) \exp(tY) = \exp Z(t)$. Comparing coefficients:

$$\exp(tX) \exp(tY) = \mathbf{1} + t(X + Y) + \frac{t^2}{2}(X^2 + 2XY + Y^2) + \cdots,$$

$$\exp Z(t) = \mathbf{1} + tZ_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}Z_1^2 + Z_2\right)t^2 + \cdots,$$

so $Z_1 = X + Y$ and

$$Z_2 = \frac{1}{2}(X^2 + 2XY + Y^2) - \frac{1}{2}(X + Y)^2 = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y].$$

$\square$

Remark. One can show (full CBH) that for small t ,

$$\exp(tX) \exp(tY) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^k Z_k\right),$$

where Z_k is a linear combination of k -fold commutators (i.e. expressions obtained from X, Y by $(k-1)$ applications of $[X, \cdot]$ and $[Y, \cdot]$). For example,

$$Z_3 = \frac{1}{12}([X, [X, Y]] + [Y, [Y, X]]), \quad Z_4 = -\frac{1}{24}[X, [Y, [X, Y]]].$$

Thus the Lie algebra determines the group multiplication near the identity.

Proof of Theorem 3.16. (1) $\text{Lie}(G)$ is a real vector space. If $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$, then $\lambda X \in \text{Lie}(G)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by definition. If $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$, we show $X + Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{n}X\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n}Y\right) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{n}(X + Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right).$$

Hence

$$A_n = \left[\exp\left(\frac{t}{n}X\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{n}Y\right) \right]^n = \exp\left(t(X + Y) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(t(X + Y)).$$

Since $A_n \in G$ and G is closed, $\exp(t(X + Y)) \in G$.

(2) If $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$, then $[X, Y] \in \text{Lie}(G)$. First, show $\exp([X, Y]) \in G$. For large n ,

$$\exp\left(\pm \frac{1}{n}X\right) \exp\left(\pm \frac{1}{n}Y\right) = \exp\left(\pm \frac{1}{n}(X + Y) + \frac{1}{2n^2}[X, Y] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{n}X\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{n}Y\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n}X\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n}Y\right) \right]^{n^2} \\ &= \left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{n}(X + Y) + \frac{1}{2n^2}[X, Y] - \frac{1}{n}(X + Y) + \frac{1}{2n^2}[X, Y] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right) \right]^{n^2} \\ &= \exp\left([X, Y] + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

As $B_n \in G$ for all n , closedness gives $\exp([X, Y]) \in G$. Replacing X by tX shows $\exp(t[X, Y]) \in G$ for all t , hence $[X, Y] \in \text{Lie}(G)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.27. (Without proof) *Let $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ be a matrix Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$. There exist open neighborhoods $0 \in U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $1 \in V \subset G$ such that $\exp : U \rightarrow V$ is a smooth embedding with $\exp(U) = V$.*

A smooth embedding is an injective smooth map whose differential is injective at every point. For each $g \in G$, the map $\varphi_g : U \rightarrow G$, $X \mapsto g \exp(X)$, is a homeomorphism $U \rightarrow gV$. The inverse maps φ_g^{-1} serve as charts, endowing G with a manifold structure.

Theorem 3.28. *Let $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K})$. The subgroup generated by finite products*

$$\exp(X_1) \cdots \exp(X_k), \quad X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

is precisely the identity component of G .

Proof. Such a product lies on the curve $t \mapsto \exp(tX_1) \cdots \exp(tX_k)$, which passes through $\mathbf{1}$ at $t = 0$, hence is in the identity component. Conversely, let g be in the identity component, and choose U, V as in Theorem 3.27. There is a continuous path $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ with $g(0) = \mathbf{1}$ and $g(1) = g$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, pick an open interval $U_t \subset [0, 1]$ around t so small that $g(U_t) \subset g(t)V$. Compactness yields a finite subcover $U_{t_0} \cup \cdots \cup U_{t_k} \supset [0, 1]$. Refining if needed, assume $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = 1$ with $t_i \in U_{t_{i+1}}$. Then $g = g(1) \in g(t_{k-1})V$, i.e. $g = g(t_{k-1})\exp(X_k)$ for some $X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, $g(t_i) = g(t_{i-1})\exp(X_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, with $g(t_0) = \mathbf{1}$. Hence $g = \exp(X_1) \cdots \exp(X_k)$. $\square$

Example 3.29. $G = U(n)$. Every unitary matrix is unitarily diagonalizable:

$$U = A \operatorname{diag}(e^{i\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{i\varphi_n}) A^{-1}, \quad A \in U(n).$$

Thus $U = \exp(X)$ with $X = A \operatorname{diag}(i\varphi_1, \dots, i\varphi_n) A^{-1}$, and $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is a one-parameter subgroup in $U(n)$. Therefore $\exp : \mathfrak{u}(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ is surjective.

Remark. We have discussed matrix Lie groups, but the results hold for general Lie groups as well. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a Lie group G is, as a vector space, the tangent space T_1G at the identity. To define the Lie algebra structure, identify T_1G with the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields, as follows.

To a vector field X on G associate its directional derivative operator $X : C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$ (denoted by the same letter). If $X(g) = \dot{\gamma}(0) \in T_gG$ is the tangent vector of a curve $\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma(0) = g$, then $Xf(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(\gamma(t)) \right|_{t=0}$. The Lie bracket of vector fields X_1, X_2 is defined via their derivations by $[X_1, X_2]f = X_1X_2f - X_2X_1f$, and one verifies that $[X_1, X_2]$ is again a vector field.

For $g \in G$, let $L_g : G \rightarrow G$ be left multiplication, $L_g(h) = gh$. A vector field X is *left-invariant* if $(L_g)_*X(h) = X(gh)$ for all $g, h \in G$ (here $(L_g)_* : T_hG \rightarrow T_{gh}G$ is the differential). Left-invariant vector fields form a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_1G$, $X \mapsto X(1)$ is a linear isomorphism with inverse $a \mapsto (g \mapsto (L_g)_*a)$.

Given a vector field X on a manifold M , its flow $\varphi_t^X : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t^X(x) = X(\varphi_t^X(x))$ with $\varphi_0^X(x) = x$, when defined. The exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is given by $\exp(tX) = \varphi_t^X(1)$; it is a diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 onto a neighborhood of $1 \in G$ and satisfies CBH.

Every compact Lie group is isomorphic to a matrix Lie group (the isomorphism is both a diffeomorphism and a group homomorphism). In general, any Lie group is at least locally isomorphic to a matrix Lie group: there exists a smooth surjective group homomorphism $G \rightarrow G_0 \subset GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ which is a local diffeomorphism.