

Chapter 2

Representations of Groups

When a group acts on a vector space by linear maps, one speaks of a *representation*. The fundamental properties of representations are discussed in this chapter.

2.1 Definitions and Examples

Definition 2.1. A (real or complex) representation of a group G on an $\mathbb{R}$ - (resp. $\mathbb{C}$ -) vector space $V \neq 0$ is a homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V).$$

The vector space V is called the *representation space* of ρ .

Thus, a representation ρ assigns to each element $g \in G$ an invertible linear map $\rho(g) : V \rightarrow V$ such that, for all $g, h \in G$,

$$\rho(gh) = \rho(g)\rho(h).$$

A representation ρ on V is denoted (ρ, V) . When there is no ambiguity, one often simply refers to “the representation ρ ” or “the representation V ”. The dimension of (ρ, V) is the dimension of the representation space V .

Example 2.2 (Trivial Representation). $V = \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Example 2.3. Let $G = S_n$, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$, and define $\rho(g)e_i = e_{g(i)}$ for $g \in S_n$.

Example 2.4. Let $G = O(3)$, $V = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and define $(\rho(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$.

Example 2.5. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $V = \mathbb{C}$, and $\rho(m) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}m}$, where $GL(\mathbb{C})$ is identified with $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a representation. Then $\rho(1) = 1_V$, the identity map $V \rightarrow V$, and $\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g^{-1})$.

Proof. We have $\rho(g) = \rho(g1) = \rho(g)\rho(1)$. Since $\rho(g)$ is invertible, $\rho(1)$ must be the identity. Moreover,

$$1_V = \rho(1) = \rho(gg^{-1}) = \rho(g)\rho(g^{-1}),$$

hence $\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g^{-1})$. □

Definition 2.7. A homomorphism of representations $(\rho_1, V_1) \rightarrow (\rho_2, V_2)$ is a linear map $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that

$$\varphi \rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g) \varphi \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Two representations (ρ_1, V_1) and (ρ_2, V_2) are *equivalent* (or isomorphic) if there exists a bijective homomorphism of representations $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$. The vector space of all homomorphisms $(\rho_1, V_1) \rightarrow (\rho_2, V_2)$ is denoted $\text{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2)$.

Definition 2.8. An *invariant subspace* of a representation (ρ, V) is a subspace $W \subset V$ such that $\rho(g)W \subset W$ for all $g \in G$. A representation (ρ, V) is called *irreducible* if it has no invariant subspaces other than V and $\{0\}$; otherwise it is *reducible*. If $W \neq \{0\}$ is invariant, then the restriction $\rho|_W : G \rightarrow GL(W)$ defines a *subrepresentation*.

Definition 2.9. A representation (ρ, V) is *completely reducible* if there exist invariant subspaces $V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that

$$V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$$

and each $(\rho|_{V_i}, V_i)$ is irreducible. Such a decomposition is called a *decomposition into irreducible representations*.

Remark. Not every reducible representation is completely reducible. For example, the representation of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$n \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is reducible (with invariant subspace $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$) but not completely reducible, since the matrices are not diagonalizable for $n \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.10. Let (ρ, V) be a finite-dimensional representation such that for every invariant subspace $W \subset V$ there exists an invariant subspace W' with $V = W \oplus W'$. Then (ρ, V) is completely reducible.

Proof. Proceed by induction on $\dim V$. For $\dim V = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose $\dim V = d + 1$. Either V is irreducible, or there exists an invariant subspace W with $1 \leq \dim W \leq d$. Let W' be invariant with $V = W \oplus W'$. Then $1 \leq \dim W' \leq d$. By the induction hypothesis, W and W' are completely reducible, hence so is V . $\square$

2.2 Unitary Representations

Definition 2.11. A representation ρ on an inner-product space V is *unitary* if $\rho(g)$ is unitary for all $g \in G$, i.e.

$$\rho(g)^* = \rho(g)^{-1}.$$

Theorem 2.12. Unitary representations are completely reducible.

Proof. Let W be an invariant subspace of a unitary representation (ρ, V) and let

$$W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid (v, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

Then $W^\perp$ is invariant because for $v \in W^\perp$ and $g \in G$,

$$(\rho(g)v, w) = (v, \rho(g)^*w) = (v, \rho(g)^{-1}w) = 0$$

for all $w \in W$. Hence $\rho(g)v \in W^\perp$. By the previous lemma, (ρ, V) is completely reducible. $\square$

Theorem 2.13. *Let (ρ, V) be a representation of a finite group G . Then there exists an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V such that (ρ, V) is unitary.*

Proof. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ be any inner product on V and define

$$(v, w) = \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g)v, \rho(g)w)_0.$$

This defines an inner product since it is sesquilinear, Hermitian, and positive definite. Moreover,

$$(\rho(g)v, \rho(g)w) = \sum_{h \in G} (\rho(hg)v, \rho(hg)w)_0 = \sum_{h \in G} (\rho(h)v, \rho(h)w)_0 = (v, w).$$

Hence $\rho(g)$ is unitary for all $g \in G$. □

Corollary 2.14. *Every representation of a finite group is completely reducible.*

Chapter 3

Lie Groups and Lie Algebras

3.1 Lie groups

A *Lie group* is a group that is at the same time a C^∞ -manifold such that multiplication and inversion are C^∞ -maps. Examples of Lie groups are $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $O(p, q)$, $U(p, q)$, $SO(p, q)$, $SU(p, q)$, $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We view $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as a subset of $\mathbb{K}^{n^2}$ (where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) by writing the matrix entries of a matrix $A \in G$ as a point $(A_{11}, A_{12}, \dots, A_{nn})$ in $\mathbb{K}^{n^2}$. This identification defines the structure of a metric space on G . The distance $d(A, B)$ between two matrices in G is

$$d(A, B)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |A_{ij} - B_{ij}|^2 = \mathrm{tr} (A - B)^*(A - B).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$, $(A, B) \mapsto AB$ and inversion $G \rightarrow G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ are continuous maps.*

Proof. The matrix entries of AB are polynomials in the matrix entries of A and B and thus continuous. The matrix entries of A^{-1} are, by Cramer's rule, rational functions of the matrix entries of A . The denominator is the polynomial $\det A$, which never vanishes in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$. Hence $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ is continuous. $\square$

Continuity properties are important in the theory of Lie groups. Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. A *path* in G is a continuous map $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$. Two matrices A_1, A_2 in G are said to be *path-connected* ($A_1 \sim A_2$) if there exists a path w in G with $w(0) = A_1$ and $w(1) = A_2$. Every $A \in G$ is connected to itself (with the path $w(t) = A$, $t \in [0, 1]$). Moreover, from $A_1 \sim A_2$ via a path w , it follows that $A_2 \sim A_1$ via the path $\bar{w}(t) = w(1 - t)$. If A_1 is connected to A_2 by a path w_1 and A_2 to A_3 by a path w_2 , then the concatenated path

$$(w_1 * w_2)(t) = \begin{cases} w_1(2t), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ w_2(2t - 1), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

connects A_1 to A_3 . Thus $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The (path) *connected components* of $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$ are the equivalence classes with respect to $\sim$. If G consists of a single connected component, then G is said to be (path) connected.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{K})$ be a subgroup, and let G^0 be the connected component containing 1. Then G^0 is a normal subgroup of G and $G/G^0 = \{\text{connected components of } G\}$.*

Proof. Exercise. □

As an example we examine the connectedness properties of unitary and orthogonal groups.

Theorem 3.4. 1. $SO(n)$, $SU(n)$, and $U(n)$ are connected.

2. $O(n)$ consists of two connected components: $\{A \in O(n) \mid \det A = 1\}$ and $\{A \in O(n) \mid \det A = -1\}$.

Proof. Unitary case: the normal form theorem for unitary matrices from linear algebra states that for every matrix $A \in U(n)$ there exists a matrix $U \in U(n)$ with $A = UDU^{-1}$, where $D = \text{diag}(e^{i\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{i\varphi_n})$, $\varphi_j \in \mathbb{R}$. The map $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow U(n)$, $t \mapsto U \text{diag}(e^{it\varphi_1}, \dots, e^{it\varphi_n}) U^{-1}$ is continuous, since multiplication by U and U^{-1} is continuous (by the previous theorem) and $t \mapsto e^{it\varphi}$ is continuous. The path w connects 1 to the arbitrary matrix $A \in U(n)$. If A has determinant 1, then $\det D = 1$ and $\sum_i \varphi_i \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$; without loss of generality $\sum_i \varphi_i = 0$. It follows that $\det(w(t)) = 1$, and w is a path in $SU(n)$. Hence $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$ are connected.

$SO(n)$ and $O(n)$, exercise. □

3.2 Exponential Map

Let $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$, with $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, be the vector space of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{K}$. Identifying $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ with $\mathbb{K}^{n^2}$ via $X = (x_{ij}) \mapsto (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn})$, we endow it with the norm

$$\|X\| = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |x_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} = (\text{tr}(X^*X))^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 3.5. For all $X, Y \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$,

$$\|XY\| \leq \|X\| \|Y\|.$$

Proof.

$$\|XY\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n X_{ik} Y_{kj} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^n |\overline{X_{il}}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{m=1}^n |Y_{mj}|^2 \right) = \|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2,$$

where we used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality with $u_k = \overline{X_{ik}}$ and $v_k = Y_{kj}$ for fixed i, j . □

Lemma 3.6. The series

$$\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k$$

converges absolutely for every $X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, inductively $\|X^k\| \leq \|X\|^k$. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k!} X^k \right\| \leq \frac{\|X\|^k}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{k!} X^k \right\| \leq e^{\|X\|} < \infty.$$

□

It follows that the matrix entries of $\exp(X)$ are absolutely convergent power series in the entries x_{ij} of X , hence analytic in the x_{ij} .

Lemma 3.7. Let $X, Y \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then:

- (i) If $XY = YX$, then $\exp(X)\exp(Y) = \exp(X+Y)$.
- (ii) $\exp(X)$ is invertible and $\exp(X)^{-1} = \exp(-X)$.
- (iii) For $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, one has $A \exp(X) A^{-1} = \exp(AXA^{-1})$.
- (iv) $\det(\exp(X)) = \exp(\operatorname{tr} X)$.
- (v) $\exp(X^*) = (\exp X)^*$ and $\exp(X^T) = (\exp X)^T$.

Proof. (i) Using absolute convergence,

$$\exp(X)\exp(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} X^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} Y^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} X^j Y^{n-j} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(X+Y)^n}{n!} = \exp(X+Y),$$

where commutativity ensures $(X+Y)^n = \sum_j \binom{n}{j} X^j Y^{n-j}$.

(ii) Apply (i) with $Y = -X$.

(iii) Conjugation moves inside the series:

$$A \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^j}{j!} \right) A^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{AX^j A^{-1}}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(AXA^{-1})^j}{j!}.$$

(iv) Put $X = AY A^{-1}$ with Y upper triangular (Schur decomposition over $\mathbb{C}$). If $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the diagonal entries of Y , then Y^k is upper triangular with diagonal entries $y_1^k, \dots, y_n^k$. Hence $\exp(Y)$ is upper triangular with diagonal $e^{y_1}, \dots, e^{y_n}$, so

$$\det(\exp Y) = e^{y_1} \dots e^{y_n} = e^{y_1 + \dots + y_n} = e^{\operatorname{tr} Y}.$$

Use (iii) and invariance of determinant and trace under conjugation.

(v) $(X^k)^* = (X^*)^k$ and likewise for T ; continuity of $X \mapsto X^*$ (resp. T) yields the claim. $\square$

Definition 3.8. The map $\exp : \operatorname{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $X \mapsto \exp(X)$, is called the *exponential map*.

Example 3.9.

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

More generally, if N is nilpotent then

$$\exp(N) = \mathbf{1} + N + \frac{N^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{N^k}{k!}$$

is a polynomial in N (for k large enough so that $N^{k+1} = 0$).

Example 3.10. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\varphi \\ \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $X^2 = \varphi^2(-\mathbf{1})$, one finds

$$\exp(X) = \cos \varphi \mathbf{1} + \sin \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2).$$

Example 3.11. Let $X = i \sum_{j=1}^3 n_j \sigma_j$ with $|n| = 1$ (Pauli matrices). Then $X^2 = -\mathbf{1}$ and

$$\exp(\vartheta X) = \cos \vartheta \mathbf{1} + \sin \vartheta X.$$

Lemma 3.12. *The map*

$$\exp : \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{K})$$

is invertible in a neighborhood of 0. That is, there exists a neighborhood U of 0 such that $\exp : U \rightarrow \exp(U)$ is bijective, and the inverse is given by an absolutely convergent power series.

Proof. The series

$$\log X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(X - \mathbf{1})^n}{n}$$

converges absolutely for $\|X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(X - \mathbf{1})^n}{n} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|X - \mathbf{1}\|^n}{n} = -\log(1 - \|X - \mathbf{1}\|) < \infty.$$

Then $\exp(\log X) = X$ for $\|X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$, and $\log(\exp X) = X$ whenever $\|\exp X - \mathbf{1}\| < 1$. $\square$

3.3 One-Parameter Groups

Definition 3.13. A map $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $t \mapsto X(t)$, is called a *one-parameter group* if it is continuously differentiable, $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$, and

$$X(s+t) = X(s)X(t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The image of such a map is a subgroup, with $X(t)^{-1} = X(-t)$.

Theorem 3.14. (i) *For any $X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$, the map $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is a one-parameter group.*

(ii) *Every one-parameter group is of this form.*

Definition 3.15. For the one-parameter group $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$, the matrix X is called its *infinitesimal generator*.

Proof of Theorem 3.14. (i) Since $tXsX = sXtX$, Lemma 3.7(i) gives $\exp(tX)\exp(sX) = \exp((t+s)X)$. Differentiability and $\frac{d}{dt}\exp(tX) = \exp(tX)X$ are standard.

(ii) If $X(t)$ is a one-parameter group, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(t+h) - X(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(t)X(h) - X(t)}{h} = X(t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{X(h) - \mathbf{1}}{h} = X(t)\dot{X}(0),$$

with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$. Uniqueness for first-order linear matrix ODEs yields $X(t) = \exp(t\dot{X}(0))$. $\square$

3.4 Matrix Lie Groups

Let $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ be a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ (closed means: if (g_j) is a sequence in G converging in $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j \in G$). Define

$$\text{Lie}(G) = \{ X \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K}) \mid \exp(tX) \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

The space $\text{Lie}(G)$ is called the *Lie algebra* of the Lie group G .

Theorem 3.16. *Let G be a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\text{Lie}(G)$ is a real vector space, and for all $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$ we have $[X, Y] = XY - YX \in \text{Lie}(G)$.*

We will prove this later using the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff (CBH) formula. Since we are only interested in these groups, we adopt:

Definition 3.17. A (matrix) *Lie group* is a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$.

It turns out that closed subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ are Lie groups in the sense of Section 1.2 (see Theorem 3.28 below). The Lie algebra of a Lie group G can then alternatively be defined as the tangent space $T_1 G$ at the identity $1 \in G$:

Lemma 3.18. $\text{Lie}(G)$ consists of all tangent vectors $\dot{X}(0) = \frac{d}{dt}X(t)|_{t=0}$ of smooth curves $X : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow G$ with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$ (for some $\epsilon > 0$).

Proof. One-parameter subgroups in G are smooth curves through 1 , so their infinitesimal generators are tangent vectors of the desired form. Conversely, let $X : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow G$ be a smooth curve with $X(0) = \mathbf{1}$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider $X(t/n)^n \in G$. For n large, $X(t/n)$ is defined and near $\mathbf{1}$ (so that $\log$ is defined). Then

$$\begin{aligned} X(t/n)^n &= \exp(n \log(X(t/n))) = \exp\left(n \log\left(\mathbf{1} + \dot{X}(0) \frac{t}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(n\left(\dot{X}(0) \frac{t}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\right) = \exp\left(t\dot{X}(0) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp(t\dot{X}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Closedness of G implies $\exp(t\dot{X}(0)) \in G$ for all t , hence $\dot{X}(0) \in \text{Lie}(G)$. $\square$

Typical examples are $(S)U(n, m)$, $(S)O(n, m)$, $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $SL(n, \mathbb{K})$, $Sp(n)$, etc. Indeed, they can be defined as common zero sets of continuous functions $f : GL(n, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, hence are closed. For instance, $SL(n, \mathbb{K}) = \{A \mid \det(A) - 1 = 0\}$. A counterexample is $GL(n, \mathbb{Q}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, which is not closed.

Define the *commutator* of $X, Y \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ by

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX.$$

It satisfies:

- (i) $[\lambda X + \mu Y, Z] = \lambda[X, Z] + \mu[Y, Z]$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ (bilinearity);
- (ii) $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$ (antisymmetry);
- (iii) $[[X, Y], Z] + [[Z, X], Y] + [[Y, Z], X] = 0$ (Jacobi identity).

Definition 3.19. A real or complex vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a bilinear map (the *Lie bracket*) $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (i)–(iii) is called a (real or complex) *Lie algebra*. A *homomorphism* of Lie algebras $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ is linear and satisfies $[\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] = \varphi([X, Y])$. Bijective homomorphisms are *isomorphisms*.

Thus Theorem 3.16 asserts that $\text{Lie}(G)$ carries the structure of a real Lie algebra.

Example 3.20. $\text{Lie}(GL(n, \mathbb{K})) = \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ viewed as a real vector space, denoted $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K})$. A basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is given by the matrices E_{ij} , $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, with entries $(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. The Lie bracket reads

$$[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = E_{il}\delta_{jk} - E_{jk}\delta_{il},$$

and $\dim \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = n^2$. As a real Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ has basis $\{E_{ij}, \sqrt{-1}E_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = 2n^2$.

Example 3.21. $\mathfrak{u}(n) := \text{Lie}(U(n))$, $\mathfrak{su}(n) := \text{Lie}(SU(n))$.