

# Quantum Field Theory WS 2018/2019

Prof. Andreas Weiler (TUM); Dr. Patrick Vaudrevange,  
Dr. Ennio Salvioni, Dr. Javi Serra

<http://www.t75.ph.tum.de/teaching/ws18-quantum-field-theory/>



## Sheet 12: fermions

(23.01.2019; solution due by 30.01.2019 at 16:00, the parts required for hand-in will be announced on 29.01.2019 at 8:00am; discussed at tutorials of 30.01, 31.01 and 04.02)

## 1 Properties of gamma matrices

Prove the following identities, using only the defining property  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$  and the definitions  $\gamma_5 \equiv i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = (-i/4!)\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv (i/2)[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ , i.e. without resorting to a particular representation:

a)

1.  $\gamma^\mu\gamma_\mu = 4$
2.  $\gamma_\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = -2\gamma^\nu$  and  $\gamma_\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\mu = 4g^{\nu\rho}$ ,
3.  $\gamma_\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\mu = -2\gamma^\sigma\gamma^\rho\gamma^\nu$ ,
4.  $\gamma_\mu\sigma^{\nu\rho}\gamma^\mu = 0$ ,
5.  $\text{Tr}\gamma^\mu = 0$ ,
6.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) = 4g^{\mu\nu}$ ,
7. \* <sup>1</sup>  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma) = 4(g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho})$ ,
8. \*  $\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu \dots \gamma^\sigma) = 0$  for an odd number of  $\gamma$  matrices;

b)

1.  $(\gamma_5)^2 = 1$  and  $\text{Tr}\gamma_5 = 0$ ,
2.  $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0$  and  $[\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \gamma_5] = 0$ ,
3.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) = 0$ ,
4.  $\text{Tr}(\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma) = -4i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ ,
5. \*  $\gamma_5\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\sigma_{\rho\sigma}$ ,
6. \*  $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho = g^{\nu\rho}\gamma^\mu - g^{\mu\rho}\gamma^\nu + g^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\rho + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma_\sigma\gamma_5$ .

*Hint:* Some useful tricks include exploiting the cyclicity of the trace, inserting  $(\gamma_5)^2 = 1$  into a trace, and using the identity  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -\delta_{[\alpha}^{\mu}\delta_{\beta}^{\nu}\delta_{\gamma}^{\rho}\delta_{\delta]}^{\sigma}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The \* means to be handed in.

## 2\* Weyl spinors

Given the generators of rotations  $J^i = (1/2)\epsilon^{ijk} J^{jk}$  and boosts  $K^i = J^{i0}$ , where  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ , infinitesimal Lorentz transformations can be written as

$$\psi \rightarrow (\mathbf{1} - i\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + i\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{K})\psi. \quad (1)$$

Recall from the lecture the definition of the complexified Lorentz generators  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{J} + i\mathbf{K})/2$  and  $\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{J} - i\mathbf{K})/2$ , which separately fulfill the commutation relations of angular momentum and commute with each other. Any finite irreducible representation generated by  $\mathbf{A}$  is locally isomorphic to a representation generated by a usual angular momentum, i.e. locally isomorphic to a representation of  $SU(2)$ ; similarly for  $\mathbf{B}$ . Therefore all finite-dimensional representations of the Lorentz group correspond to pairs  $(b, a)$  of integers or half-integers.

*Note:* Since the  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  are non-hermitian,  $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = \mathbf{B}$ , the *global* structure of the representations is however a non-unitary analytic continuation of the corresponding  $SU(2)$  representations.  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  generate the group  $\text{Spin}(1, 3) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , which is in turn the (universal) double cover of the proper orthochronous Lorentz group  $SO(1, 3)$ .

**a)** Now consider the simplest non-trivial representations. Those are the left- and right-handed Weyl spinors  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Use the fact that spin-1/2 representations of angular momentum are generated by  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2$  to show that the Weyl spinors transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_L &\rightarrow D_L(\Lambda)\psi_L = \left(\mathbf{1} - (i\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}\right)\psi_L, \\ \psi_R &\rightarrow D_R(\Lambda)\psi_R = \left(\mathbf{1} - (i\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2}\right)\psi_R. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Use  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^* = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2$  and the explicit form of  $D_{L,R}(\Lambda)$  to show that  $\sigma^2 D_L(\Lambda)^* \sigma^2 = D_R(\Lambda)$ . Show how one can infer from this that if  $\psi_L \in (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ , then  $\sigma^2 \psi_L^*$  is a right-handed Weyl spinor, i.e.  $\sigma^2 \psi_L^* \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

**b)** Based on the results of the previous point, show that two distinct types of fermion masses can be written compatibly with Lorentz invariance,

$$\mathcal{L}_D = m_D \psi_L^\dagger \psi_R + \text{h.c.}, \quad \mathcal{L}_M = m_M \psi_L^T i\sigma^2 \psi_L + \text{h.c.}, \quad (3)$$

where for the second (Majorana) type, an analogous term could be written for  $\psi_R$ . What does each of the two terms in Eq. (3) imply, as far as internal symmetries are concerned? Finally, what happens to  $\mathcal{L}_M$  if the components of  $\psi_L$  commute with each other? As you will see later in the lecture, this apparent puzzle is resolved by treating the spinors as anticommuting (Grassmann) variables.

**c)** Prove that if  $\psi_R$  and  $\xi_R$  are right-handed Weyl spinors and  $\sigma^\mu \equiv (1, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ , then  $U^\mu = \xi_R^\dagger \sigma^\mu \psi_R$  is a Lorentz four-vector. Show the same for  $V^\mu = \xi_L^\dagger \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi_L$ , where  $\psi_L$  and  $\xi_L$  are left-handed Weyl spinors and  $\bar{\sigma}^\mu \equiv (1, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ .

**d)** Verify explicitly that for  $D_L(\Lambda) = \exp(-i\boldsymbol{\theta}\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}/2)$ ,  $L[D_L(\Lambda)]$  is a rotation by the angle  $\theta$  around  $\mathbf{n}$ , where  $L$  follows from  $V^\mu \rightarrow V'^\mu = L^\mu_\nu V^\nu$ . Finally, show also that for  $D_L(\Lambda) = \exp(-\boldsymbol{\eta}\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}/2)$ ,  $L[D_L(\Lambda)]$  is a boost of rapidity  $\eta$  (i.e. with boost parameters  $\beta = \tanh \eta, \gamma = \cosh \eta$ ) in the direction  $\mathbf{n}$ .